UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,469	04/16/2004	Yuriy A. Reznik	REAL-2006049 (RN97)	1483	
61857	7590 10/15/2009		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ALNETWORKS, INC			
	1525 4TH AVE, STE 800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-1648		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

مناثكم			•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		10/826,469	REZNIK, YURIY A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HE	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The Ap 41.37.	opeal Brief filed on <u>28 September 2009</u> is defecti	ve for failure to comply with one	or more provisions of 37 CFR			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio				
1.	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not under the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				
	(6) The argument section match the grounds section argument section.	n insomuch as each grounds corresp	onds to a heading within the			

REGINALD TYSON

PATENT APPEALS SPECIALIST

571-272-1634